







# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,405	12/08/2000	Brian Pollock	S01.12-0643/STL 9408	1870
75	590 03/26/2003			
Brian D. Kaul Westman, Champlin & Kelly Suite 1600, International Centre 900 Second Avenue South			EXAMINER	
			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
	fN 55402-3319		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			2651	,
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplicant(s)	<del>}</del>		
•		09/733,405	POLLOCK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew L. Sniezek	2651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS DESCRIBED TO THE MANAGEMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 November 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation	n			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		,			
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawn nom oonoldoration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2000</u>		ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11) 🔲 🛭	The proposed drawing correction filed on _					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
12) 🔲 🏾	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in App	olication No			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ol>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	tion).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tre	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/733,405

Art Unit: 2651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

1. Examiner has review the art cited in the information disclosure statements filed 11/18/02 and 5/13/02. Examiner also notes that an information disclosure statement was filed on 3/26/01, however the corresponding PTO-1449 for this statement cannot be found in the file. Without such form Examiner cannot determine what art applicant is trying to cite. Applicant is requested to file such form along with copies of the art cited on that form so that the Examiner could consider it.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings filed 12/08/00 are acceptable by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ueno et al.

Ueno et al. teaches a servo loop to position a head over a disk (figure 1) which includes a servo controller (7), an actuator mechanism (10) a transducer (13) a

Application/Control Number: 09/733,405

Art Unit: 2651

demodulator (inherent in read/write channel (3)) and a compensation circuitry (figure 2) which as discussed in column 5 compensates for repeatable runout (RRO) caused by eccentricity between a pre-written servo track and the axis of rotation of the disk. The compensation signal(s) comprised of (82 and 81) are stored in memory (8). This teaching of Ueno et al satisfies claim 1. As seen in figure 2 the compensation signal (81) is added to the position signal at (73) satisfying the limitations of claim 3. Also, due to eccentricity another compensation signal is stored in (82) which subtracted in a manner as set forth in claim 2. As disclosed in columns 7-8 the equations used in the formation of the compensation signals are based on a sampling frequency, which is related to the number of sectors. These sectors as discussed in column 5 each contain servo information, together forming a servo track and therefor satisfying the limitations of claims 4 and 5. Claim 10 written in a means language sets forth no more as disclosed than that of claims 1-5 and therefore rejected for similar reasons. Method claims 6-8 are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 1-5. Therefore method claims 6-8 correspond to apparatus claims 1-5 and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation (obviousness) as used above. Claim 9 additional sets forth that the disk arrangement can be expanded to a drive that includes plural disks while maintaining a fixed relation between the heads. Column 4 of Ueno et al. states that in actuality the drive arrangement is comprised of a plurality of disks. With such an arrangement the heads are typically fixed in relation to each other. Taking the reference as a whole, it would be appear that the formation of the compensation

Page 4

values would be achieved in a similar manner for each disk, since there is no contrary teaching in Ueno et al.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ishioka et al. is cited as being related to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 703-308-1602. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-305-4700. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2651

A.L.S. March 22, 2003